

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,734	09/12/2003	Qin Fu	004835.00030	4928	
22907	7590 02/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF			FETTEROLF, BRANDON J		
SUITE 1100	01 G STREET N W ITE 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC 20001		1642		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A - B - M - M -	A II A / \				
÷ :	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/660,734 Examiner	FU ET AL.	·			
		1642				
	Brandon J Fetterolf, PhD		dda a a			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS	ATION.  FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .					
•	☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	<u></u>					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	0-948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application (PT	<sup>-</sup> O-152)			

Art Unit: 1642

Fu et al.

Pending Claims: 1-27

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, as specifically drawn to a method of treating a disease characterized by an undesirable amount of cell proliferation, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 7-25, as specifically drawn to a method of diagnosing a proliferative cell disorder in a patient, classified in class 435, subclass 287.2.
- III. Claim 27, as specifically drawn to a method to monitor the effects of PARC or anti-PARC therapy, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

Note:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct inventions, NOT species, which differ at least in etiology, pathology, and mechanisms:

- 1) Cancer
- 2) Autoimmune Disease
- 3) Rheumatoid arthritis
- 4) Myeloproliferative disease
- 5) Coronary Artery disease

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed invention for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 of Group I and claim 7 of Group II are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the invnetion that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional inventions which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected invention. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the specification does not disclose that their methods would be used together. The method for treating a disease (Group I), the method for diagnosing a proliferative disorder (Group II) and the method of monitoring the effects of PARC or anti-PARC therapy (Group III) are unrelated as the comprise distinct steps and utilize different products which demonstrates that each method has a different mode of operation. Each invention performs this function using structurally and functionally divergent material. Moreover, the methodology and materials necessary for treatment, diagnosis and monitoring differ significantly for each of the materials. For treating a disease, any route of administration can be used. For detecting expression of PARC, an array utilizing antibodies attached to oligonucleotides can be used. For monitoring the effects of PARC or anti-PARC therapy, measurement of a number of proteins, including AR, IL-1 or SDF-1a can be indicative of the progress. an antibody can be used in an assay. Therefore, each method is divergent in materials and steps. For these reasons the inventions of Groups I-III are patentably distinct.

Furthermore, the distinct steps and products require separate and distinct searches. The inventions of Groups I-III have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of Groups IV and VI-X.

Because the inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for each group is not required for other groups because each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different products and/or method steps, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon J Fetterolf, PhD whose telephone number is (571)-272-2919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brandon J Fetterolf, PhD Examiner Art Unit 1642

BF

